

Swampland program, extra dimensions and supersymmetry breaking

I. Antoniadis

LPTHE, CNRS/Sorbonne University, Paris


26th International workshop

“What comes beyond the standard models”

Bled (virtual), 14 July 2023

Challenge for a fundamental theory of Nature


describe both particle physics and cosmology



Accelerator experiments and cosmological observations:
complementary information for the same fundamental theory

What our Universe is made of ?

- Ordinary matter: only a tiny fraction $\lesssim 5\%$
- Non-luminous (dark) matter: $\sim 25\%$



Relativistic dark energy 70-75% of the observable universe

negative pressure: $p = -\rho \Rightarrow$ cosmological constant

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{ab} \Rightarrow \rho_\Lambda = \frac{c^4 \Lambda}{8\pi G} = -p_\Lambda$$

Two length scales:

- $[\Lambda] = L^{-2} \leftarrow$ size of the observable Universe


$$\Lambda_{obs} \simeq 0.74 \times 3H_0^2/c^2 \simeq 1.4 \times (10^{26} \text{ m})^{-2}$$

 Hubble parameter $\simeq 73 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$

- $[\frac{\Lambda}{G} \times \frac{c^3}{h}] = L^{-4} \leftarrow$ dark energy length $\simeq 85 \mu\text{m}$

Newton's law is valid down to distances $30\text{ }\mu\text{m}$

Adelberger et al. '06



problem of scales: challenge for a fundamental theory

- describe high energy (SUSY?) extension of the Standard Model
unification of all fundamental interactions
 - incorporate Dark Energy
simplest case: infinitesimal (tuneable) +ve cosmological constant
 - describe possible accelerated expanding phase of our universe
models of inflation (approximate de Sitter)
- ⇒ 3 very different scales besides M_W and M_{Planck} :



Strings and extra dimensions

- consistency of the theory \Rightarrow extra dimensions
string coupling g_s can be treated as an extra dimension in M-theory
- matter and gauge interactions may be localized on lower dim branes
transverse dimensions can be large

\Rightarrow **string scale M_s can be lower than the 4d Planck mass!**

opening a new way to address physics problems and scales

M_s low (multi-TeV) \Rightarrow *electroweak hierarchy*

M_s at intermediate energies $\sim 10^{11}$ GeV ($M_s^2/M_P \sim \text{TeV}$)

\Rightarrow *SUSY breaking, strong CP axion, see-saw neutrino scale*

- compactification \Rightarrow parameters: moduli fields + discrete fluxes
- moduli stabilization \Rightarrow huge landscape of vacua
 \Rightarrow **need an extra input of guidance principle**

Not all effective field theories can consistently coupled to gravity

- anomaly cancellation is not sufficient
- consistent ultraviolet completion can bring non-trivial constraints

those which do not, form the 'swampland'

criteria \Rightarrow conjectures

supported by arguments based on string theory and black-hole physics

Some well established examples:

- No exact global symmetries in Nature
- Weak Gravity Conjecture: gravity is the weakest force

\Rightarrow minimal non-trivial charge: $q \geq m$ in Planck units $8\pi G = \kappa^2 = 1$

Arkani-Hamed, Motl, Nicolis, Vafa '06

Distance/duality conjecture

At large distance in field space $\phi \Rightarrow$ tower of exponentially light states

$m \sim e^{-\alpha\phi}$ with $\alpha \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ parameter in Planck units

- provides a weakly coupled dual description up to the species scale

$$M_* = M_P / \sqrt{N} \quad \text{Dvali '07}$$

- tower can be either

- 1 a Kaluza-Klein tower (decompactification of d extra dimensions)

$$M_* = M_P^{(4+d)} = (m^d M_P^2)^{1/(d+2)} \quad ; \quad m \sim 1/R, \quad \phi = \ln R$$

- 2 a tower of string excitations

$$M_* = m \sim \text{the associated string scale} = g_s M_P \quad ; \quad \phi = -\ln g_s$$

emergent string conjecture

Lee-Lerche-Weigand '19

smallness of physical parameters : large distance corner of landscape?

Theorem:

assuming a light gravitino (or gaugino) present in the string spectrum

$$M_{3/2} \ll M_P$$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a tower of states with the same quantum numbers and masses

$$M_k = (2Nk + 1)M_{3/2}; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots; \quad N \text{ integer (not too large)}$$

Proof:

2D free-fermionic constructions $\Rightarrow N \lesssim 10$

2D bosonic lattices $\Rightarrow N \lesssim 10^3$

\Rightarrow compactification scale $m = \lambda_{3/2}^{-1} M_{3/2}$ with $\lambda_{3/2} = 1/2N$

Dark dimension proposal for the dark energy

$$m = \lambda^{-1} \Lambda^a \quad (M_P = 1) \quad ; \quad 1/4 \leq a \leq 1/2 \quad \text{Montero-Vafa-Valenzuela '22}$$

- distance $\phi = -\ln \Lambda$ Lust-Palti-Vafa '19

- $a \leq 1/2$: unitarity bound $m_{\text{spin-2}}^2 \geq 2H^2 \sim \Lambda$ Higuchi '87

- $a \geq 1/4$: estimate of 1-loop contribution $\Lambda \gtrsim m^4$

observations: $\Lambda \sim 10^{-120}$ and $m \gtrsim 0.01$ eV (Newton's law) $\Rightarrow a = 1/4$

astrophysical constraints $\Rightarrow d = 1$ extra dimension

\Rightarrow species scale (5d Planck mass) $M_* \simeq \lambda^{-1/3} 10^8$ GeV

$$10^{-4} \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 10^{-1}$$

Obviously such a low m cannot correspond to a string tower

More physics implications of the dark dimension

- natural explanation of neutrino masses introducing ν_R in the bulk

recent analysis of ν -oscillation data with 3 bulk neutrinos \Rightarrow

$$m \gtrsim 2.5 \text{ eV} \quad (R \lesssim 0.4 \mu\text{m}) \quad \text{Forero-Giunti-Ternes-Tyagi '22}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda \lesssim 10^{-3} \text{ and } M_* \sim 10^9 \text{ GeV}$$

- 2 candidates of dark matter:

- ① 5D primordial black holes in the mass range $10^{15} - 10^{21} \text{g}$

with Schwarzschild radius in the range $10^{-4} - 10^{-2} \mu\text{m}$

Anchordoqui-I.A.-Lust '22

- ② KK-gravitons of decreasing mass due to internal decays (dynamical DM)

from $\sim \text{MeV}$ at matter/radiation equality ($T \sim \text{eV}$) to $\sim 50 \text{ keV}$ today


Gonzalo-Montero-Obied-Vafa '22

possible equivalence between the two


Anchordoqui-I.A.-Lust '22

Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter

4d PBH



5d PBH



5D BHs live longer than 4D BHs of the same mass

Dark Dimension Radion stabilization and inflation

If 4d inflation occurs with fixed DD radius \Rightarrow

$$\text{(Higuchi bound)} \quad H_I \lesssim m \sim \text{eV} \Rightarrow M_I \lesssim 100 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\text{Inflation scale } M_I = \Lambda_I^{1/4} \simeq \sqrt{M_P H_I}$$

Interesting possibility: the extra dimension expands with time

$R_0 \sim 1/M_*$ to $R \sim \mu\text{m}$ requires ~ 42 efolds! Anchordoqui-I.A.-Lust '22

$$\begin{aligned} ds_5^2 &= a_5^2(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2 + R_0^2 dy^2) \quad R_0 : \text{initial size prior to inflation} \\ &= r \frac{ds_4^2}{R} + R^2 dy^2 \quad ; \quad ds_4^2 = a^2(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2); \quad r \equiv \langle R \rangle_{\text{end of inflation}} \end{aligned}$$

After 5d inflation of $N = 42$ -efolds \Rightarrow 63 e-folds in 4d with $a = e^{3N/2}$

Large extra dimensions from inflation in higher dimensions

Anchordoqui-IA-Arkani-Hamed to appear

Dark Dimension hierarchy from inflation

Inflaton: 5D field φ with a coupling to the brane to produce SM matter

e.g. via a 'Yukawa' coupling suppressed by the bulk volume $y \sim 1/(RM_*)^{1/2}$

Its decay to KK gravitons should be suppressed to ensure $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} < 0.2$

$$\left(\Gamma_{\text{SM}}^\varphi \sim \frac{m}{M_*} m_\varphi \right) > \left(\Gamma_{\text{grav}}^\varphi \sim \frac{m_\varphi^4}{M_*^3} \right) \Rightarrow m_\varphi < 1 \text{ TeV} \quad \text{Anchordoqui '20}$$

5D cosmological constant at the minimum of the inflaton potential

\Rightarrow runaway radion potential:

$$V_0 \sim \frac{\Lambda_5^{\text{min}}}{R}; \quad (\Lambda_5^{\text{min}})^{1/5} \lesssim 100 \text{ GeV} \quad (\text{Higuchi bound})$$

canonically normalised radion: $\phi = \sqrt{3/2} \ln(R/r) \Rightarrow$

exponential quintessence-like form $V_0 \sim e^{-\alpha\phi}$ with $\alpha \simeq 0.8$

just at the allowed upper bound: Barreiro-Copeland-Nunes '00

Fuzzy dark matter & the Pulsar Timing Array signal

Anchordoqui-IA-Lust '23

FDM: ultralight bosonic particles with wave-like behavior at galactic scales

$$\lambda_{\text{dB}} \equiv \frac{2\pi}{mv} = 4.8 \text{ kpc} \left(\frac{10^{-23} \text{ eV}}{m} \right) \left(\frac{250 \text{ km/s}}{v} \right)$$

⇒ at larger distances FDM behaves as CDM

PTA signal: time arrival stochastic sinusoidal oscillations

of amplitude $\mathcal{A} \sim 10^{-15}$ at frequency $f \sim$ a few nHz

Similar signal can be produced by FDM

of mass $m \sim 10^{-23} \text{ eV}$ using $\rho_{\text{DM}} \sim 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$

oscillations generate fluctuations in metric perturbations

⇒ (quasi) stabilised **radion as fuzzy dark matter**

Dark dimension radion as fuzzy dark matter

Anchordoqui-IA-Lust '23

- radion mass: $m_\phi \sim \sqrt{V_{\phi\phi}} \sim \sqrt{\Lambda_4}/M_p$ $f = \omega/(2\pi) = m/\pi$
- radion production: 5D inflaton decay via unstable KK gravitons

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_R^{\text{KK}} &= \sum_{I' < I} \Gamma_{RI'}^I \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_I m_{\text{KK}}^3}{m M_p^2} \langle \varphi_{I'} \rangle \stackrel{\text{Mohapatra, Nussinov, Perez-Lorenzana}}{\simeq} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_I m_{\text{KK}}^3 (RM_*)}{m M_p^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m_I m_{\text{KK}}^3}{m M_*^2} \sim 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \quad m_{\text{KK}} = 10 \text{ eV}\end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow KK-tower \rightarrow radion before the QCD phase transition age $\sim 20\mu\text{s}$

- suppress radion coupling to matter: add a localised kinetic term

$$\delta S_{\text{radion}}^{\text{localised}} = \zeta \int [d^4x] \left(\frac{\partial R}{R} \right)^2 \quad \zeta : \text{VEV of a brane field}$$

also Albrecht-Burgess-Ravndal-Skordis '01

Gravitino Mass Conjecture [10]

Cribiori-Lust-Scalisi, Castellano-Font-Herraez-Ibanez '21

$$m_2 = \lambda_{3/2}^{-1} M_{3/2}^n \quad (M_P = 1) \quad n > 0$$

supergravity in flat space: $M_{3/2} = \kappa M_{\text{SUSY}}^2 \leftarrow \text{VEV of F (or D) auxiliary}$

Low energy SUSY (linear or non-linear) $\Rightarrow M_{3/2} < M_{\text{SUSY}} \leq M_*$

However Standard Model soft terms depend on the mediation mechanism

- gravity mediation: $M_{\text{soft}} \sim M_{\text{SUSY}}^2 \sim M_{3/2}$
- gauge mediation: $M_{\text{soft}} \sim \alpha M_{\text{SUSY}}^2 / M_{\text{mess}} \leftarrow \text{messenger mass} \gtrsim M_{\text{SUSY}}$
 \nwarrow loop factor

Combine GMC with Dark Dimension proposal \Rightarrow two possibilities:

- ① one KK tower: $m_2 = m$
- ② two different towers: $m = m_1$ for DE and m_2 for SUSY breaking

Anchordoqui-I.A.-Cribiori-Lust-Scalisi '23

scenario 1: single KK tower

$$\Lambda = (\lambda/\lambda_{3/2})^4 M_{3/2}^{4n}$$

identified as leading non-vanishing power of $\text{Str}\mathcal{M}^{2k} \Rightarrow 2n$ is integer ≥ 1

requiring $M_{\text{SUSY}} \leq M_* \Rightarrow n \leq 2$ while $M_{\text{SUSY}} \gtrsim 10 \text{ TeV} \Rightarrow n \geq 1$

n	$M_{3/2} \times (\lambda_{3/2})^{-\frac{1}{n}} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$	$M_{\text{SUSY}} \times \varkappa^{\frac{1}{2}} (\lambda_{3/2})^{-\frac{1}{2n}} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$
1	2.5×10^{-9}	7.8×10^4
3/2	2.5×10^0	2.5×10^9
2	7.8×10^4	4.4×10^{11}

$n = 1$ requires gauge mediation

while $n = 2$ (with tuning of $\varkappa(\lambda_{3/2})^{-\frac{1}{2n}}$) gravity mediation

also $n = 3/2$

scenario 2: two KK towers

Dark Radius $R_1 = \lambda \Lambda^{-1/4}$; SUSY Radius $R_2 = 1/m_2 = \lambda_{3/2} M_{3/2}^{-n}$

species scale = $(5 + p)$ -dim Planck mass

$M_* = M_P / \sqrt{N}$ with $N = N_1 N_2 = R_1 R_2 M_*^{1+p}$ for p extra SUSY dims

$\Rightarrow M_* = (m_1 m_2^p)^{1/(3+p)}$ while $m_2 = (\varkappa^n / \lambda_{3/2}) M_{\text{SUSY}}^{2n}$

experimental bounds: $m_2 \gtrsim 10$ MeV (supernova), $M_{\text{SUSY}} \gtrsim 10$ TeV (LHC)

- $n = 1/2$: $m_2 \sim M_{\text{SUSY}} \Rightarrow M_{3/2} \gtrsim 0.1$ eV

for $M_{\text{SUSY}} = 10$ TeV $\Rightarrow M_* \sim 10^{7-8}$ GeV ($1 \leq p \leq 5$)

- $n > 1$ and $n = 1, p > 1$: excluded

- $n = 1 = p$: $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim M_* \simeq 10^7$ GeV, $m_2 \sim 10$ MeV, $M_{3/2} \simeq \lambda_{3/2} m_2$
tuning $\lambda_{3/2} / \varkappa \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$

string realizations

5 viable solutions:

- $n = 1$ for $M_{3/2} \sim 1/R$ for $R = R_1$ or R_2
- $n = 1/2$ for scenario 2 with $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim 1/R_2$
- $n = 3/2$ or 2 for scenario 1 with $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim M_*$

First 3 can be realized by imposing Scherk-Schwarz boundary conditions
higher-dim fields periodic up to an \mathcal{R} -symmetry transf. that breaks SUSY

\Rightarrow shift of KK-momentum by a parameter $\propto \mathcal{R}$ -charge

gravitino 0-mode gets a mass $M_{3/2} = q/R$ ($\lambda_{3/2} = q$)

simplest example: temperature breaking ($T = 1/R$):

fermions antiperiodic \Rightarrow 1/2-integer frequencies $q = 1/2$

effective supergravity description: I.A.-Kounnas '91

string realizations

Minimal and attractive scenario 1 with $n = 1$

moreover vacuum energy: $\Lambda \sim 1/R^4$ consistent with $a = 1/4$

Generalization of Scherk-Schwarz in the presence of branes:

transverse (bulk) direction \Rightarrow no tree-level effect on the brane

I.A.-Dudas-Sagnotti '99

open problem: string construction implementing $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim M_{3/2}^{1/2}$

Last 2 solutions with $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim M_*$

can be realized in the framework of Brane Supersymmetry Breaking

only non-linear SUSY on the brane

I.A.-Dudas-Sagnotti '99, Uranga '00

Large extra dimensions from higher-dim inflation

Anchordoqui-IA-Arkani-Hamed to appear

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{4+d}^2 &= \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^d ds_4^2 + R^2 dy^2 \quad ; \quad ds_4^2 = a^2(\tau)(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2) \\ &= \hat{a}_{4+d}^2(\tau)(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2 + R_0^2 dy^2) \quad r \equiv \langle R \rangle_{\text{end of inflation}} \end{aligned}$$

- exponential expansion in higher-dims \Rightarrow power law inflation in 4D

FRW coordinates: $e^{H\hat{t}} \sim (Ht)^{2/d} \Rightarrow R(t) \sim t^{2/d}, a(t) \sim t^{1+2/d}$

- \hat{N} e-folds in $(4+d)$ -dms $\Rightarrow N = (1 + d/2)\hat{N}$ e-folds in 4D

Impose $M_* = M_p e^{-dN/(2+d)} \gtrsim 10 \text{ TeV}$

$$\gtrsim 10^8 \text{ GeV for } d = 1 \quad (r \lesssim 30 \mu\text{m})$$

$$\gtrsim 10^6 \text{ GeV for } d = 2 \quad (r^{-1} \gtrsim 10 \text{ keV})$$

\Rightarrow the horizon problem is solved for any d $N \gtrsim 30 - 60$ ($N \gtrsim \ln \frac{M_I}{eV}$)

Conclusions

smallness of some physical parameters might signal

a large distance corner in the string landscape of vacua

such parameters can be the scales of dark energy and SUSY breaking

mesoscopic dark dimension proposal: interesting phenomenology

neutrino masses, dark matter, cosmology, SUSY breaking

- minimal scenario for SUSY breaking very attractive

$M_{3/2} \sim \text{eV}$, $M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim \text{ten's of TeV}$, require gauge mediation

- 2 more cases are possible: $M_{3/2} \sim (1/R)^{1/n}$ for $n = 3/2, 2$

$M_{\text{SUSY}} \sim M_* \sim 10^9 \text{ GeV}$ with $M_{3/2} \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{GeV-TeV})$

Large extra dimensions from higher dim inflation

- connect the weakness of gravity to the size of the observable universe