Cosmophenomenology of New Physics

Lecture from course

"Introduction to Cosmoparticle Physics"

Outlines

- Strong Primordial nonhomogeneities as cosmological reflection of particle symmetry
- Primordial Black Holes (PBHs)
- Massive PBH clusters.
- Antimatter as profound signature for nonhomogeneous baryosynthesis.

Cosmoarcheology treats the set of astrophysical data as the experimental sample sheding light on possible properties of new physics. Its methods provide *Gedanken Experiment*, in which cosmological consequences of particle theory in the very Early Universe (in the 1 s of expension) are considered as the source, while their effects on later stages of expansion are considered as detector, fixing the signatures for these effects in the astrophysical data.



Possible forms of these sources are the subject of Cosmophenomenology of New Physics

Cosmological Reflections of Microworld Structure

- (Meta-)stability of new particles reflects some Conservation Law, which prohibits their rapid decay. Following Noether's theorem this Conservation Law should correspond to a (nearly) strict symmetry of microworld. Indeed, all the particles - candidates for DM reflect the extension of particle symmetry beyond the Standard Model.
- In the early Universe at high temperature particle symmetry was restored. Transition to phase of broken symmetry in the course of expansion is the source of topological defects (monopoles, strings, walls...).
- Structures, arising from dominance of superheavy metastable particles and phase transitions in early Universe, can give rise to Black Holes, retaining in the Universe after these structures decay.

Cosmological Phase transitions 1.

• At high temperature $T > T_{cr}$ spontaneously broken symmetry is restored, owing to thermal corrections to Higgs potential

$$V(\varphi, T=0) = -\frac{m^2}{2}\varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\varphi^4 \Rightarrow V(\varphi, T) = \left(C\lambda T^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}\right)\varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\varphi^4$$

When temperature falls down below

$$T = T_{cr} \cong \langle \varphi \rangle = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$$

transition to phase with broken symmetry takes place.

Cosmological Phase transitions 2.

 Spontaneously broken symmetry can be restored on chaotic inflationary stage, owing to corrections in Higgs potential due to interaction of Higgs field with inflaton

$$V(\varphi, \psi = 0) = -\frac{m^2}{2}\varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\varphi^4 \Rightarrow V(\varphi, \psi) = \left(\varepsilon\psi^2 - \frac{m^2}{2}\right)\varphi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\varphi^4$$

When inflaton field rolls down below

$$\psi = \psi_{cr} \cong \frac{m}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$$

transition to phase with broken symmetry takes place.

Topological defects

- In cosmological phase transition false (symmetric) vacuum goes to true vacuum with broken symmetry. Degeneracy of true vacuum states results in formation of topological defects.
- Discrete symmetry of true vacuum $\langle \varphi \rangle = \pm f$ leads to domains of true vacuum with +f and -f and false vacuum wall on the border.
- Continuous degeneracy $\langle \varphi \rangle = f \exp(i\theta)$ results in succession of singular points surrounded by closed paths with $\Delta\theta = 2\pi$. Geometrical place of these points is line cosmic string.
- SU(2) degeneracy results in isolated singular points in GUTs they have properties of magnetic monopoles.

Primordial Black Holes

 Any object of mass M can form Black hole, if contracted within its gravitational radius.

$$r \le r_g = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$$

- It naturally happens in the result of evolution of massive stars (and, possibly, dense star clusters).
- In the early Universe Black hole can be formed, if expansion can stop within cosmological horizon [Zeldovich, Novikov, 1966]. It corresponds to strong nonhomogeneity in early Universe

$$\delta \equiv \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \sim 1$$

PBHs as indicator of early dust-like stages

• In homogeneous and isotropic Universe ($\delta_0 << 1$) with equation of state $p = k \varepsilon$ probability of strong nonhomogeneity $\delta \sim 1$ is exponentially suppressed

$$P(\delta) = A(\delta, \delta_0) \exp\left(-\frac{k^2 \delta^2}{2\delta_0^2}\right)$$


• At *k*=0 on dust-like stage exponential suppression is absent. The minimal estimation is determined by direct production of BHs

$$A(\delta, \delta_0) \ge \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta}\right)^5 \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \left(\frac{\delta_0}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{13}{2}}$$

Dominance of superheavy particles

- Superheavy particles with mass m and relative concentration $r = n/n_{\gamma}$ dominate in the Universe at T < rm.
- Coherent oscillations of massive scalar field also behave as medium with p=0.
- They form BHs either directly from collapse of symmetric and homogeneous configurations, or in the result of evolution of their gravitationally bound systems (pending on particle properties they are like « stars » or « galaxies »).


PBHs as indicator of first order phase transitions



 Collision of bubbles with True Vacuum (TV) state during the firstorder phase transition results in formation of False Vacuum (FV) bags, which contract and collapse in Black Holes (BH).

PBH evaporation

According to S. Hawking PBH with mass M evaporate due



to creation of pairs by its nonstationary gravitational field. Products of evaporation have black body spectrum with

$$T_{PBH} \propto 1/r_g$$

 $T_{PBH} \approx 10^{13} GeV \left(\frac{1g}{M}\right)$

The rate of evaporation is given by

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = -\kappa T_{PBH}^4 r_g^2 \propto 1/r_g^2 \propto 1/M^2$$

The evaporation timescale is

$$t_{PBH} \approx 10^{27} s \left(\frac{M}{1g}\right)^3$$

Any particle with

$$m \leq T_{PBH}$$

is created - UNIVERSAL source

Effects of Primordial Black Holes

- PBHs behave like a specific form of Dark Matter
- Since in the early Universe the total mass within horizon is small, it seems natural to expect that such Primordial Black holes should have very small mass (much smaller, than the mass of stars). PBHs with mass $M < 10^{15} g$ evaporate and their astrophysical effects are similar to effects of unstable particles.
- However, cosmological consequences of particle theory can lead to mechanisms of intermediate and even supermassive BH formation.

Strong nonhomogeneities in nearly homogeneous and isotropic Universe

 The standard approach is to consider homogeneous and isotropic world and to explain development of nonhomogeneous structures by gravitational instability, arising from small initial fluctuations.

$$\delta \equiv \delta \rho / \rho << 1$$

+ However, if there is a tiny component, giving small contribution to total $\rho_i << \rho$ its strong nonhomogeneity $\delta_i \equiv \left(\delta \rho / \rho\right)_i > 1$

is compatible with small nonhomogeneity of the total density

$$\delta = (\delta \rho_i + \delta \rho)/\rho \approx (\delta \rho_i/\rho_i)(\rho_i/\rho) << 1$$

Such components naturally arise as consequences of particle theory, sheding new light on galaxy formation and reflecting in cosmic structures the fundamental structure of microworld.

Strong Primordial nonhomogeneities from the early Universe

- Cosmological phase transitions in inflationary Universe can give rise to unstable cosmological defects, retaining a replica in the form of primordial nonlinear structures (massive PBH clusters, archioles).
- Nonhomogenous baryosynthesis (including spontaneous baryosynthesis and leptogensis) in its extreme form can lead to antimatter domains in baryon asymmetrical inflationary Universe.

Strong nonhomogeneities of total density and baryon density are severely constrained by CMB data at large scales (and by the observed gamma ray background in the case of antimatter). However, their existence at smaller scales is possible.

U(1) model

$$V(\psi) = \frac{\lambda}{2} (\psi^2 - f^2)$$

After spontaneous symmetry breaking infinitely degenerated vacuum

$$\psi = fe^{i\varphi/f}$$

experiences second phase transition due to the presence (or generation by instanton effects)


$$V(\varphi) = \Lambda^4 (1 - \cos(\varphi/f))$$

to vacuum states

$$\theta \equiv \varphi/f = 0.2\pi,...$$

In particular, this succession of phase transitions takes place in axion models

Topological defects




- Spontaneous breaking of U(1) symmetry results in the continuous degeneracy of vacua. In the early Universe the transition to phase with broken symmetry leads to formation of cosmic string network.
- The tilt in potential breaks continuous degeneracy of vacua. In the result string network converts into wallsbounded-by-strings structure in the second phase transition.

Massive Primordial Black Holes

- Any object can form Black hole, if contracted within its gravitational radius. It naturally happens in the result of evolution of massive stars (and, possibly, star clusters).
- In the early Universe Black hole can be formed, if within cosmological horizon expansion can stop [Zeldovich, Novikov, 1966]. Since in the early Universe the total mass within horizon is small, it seems natural to expect that such Primordial Black holes should have very small mass (much smaller, than the mass of stars).
- However, cosmological consequences of particle theory can lead to mechanisms of intermediate and even supermassive BH formation.

Closed walls formation in Inflationary Universe




If the first U(1) phase transition takes place on inflationary stage, the value of phase θ , corresponding to e-folding N~60, fluctuates


$$\Delta\theta \approx H_{\rm infl}/(2\pi f)$$

Such fluctuations can cross π

and after coherent oscillations begin,regions with $\theta > \pi$ occupying relatively small fraction of total volume are surrounded by massive walls

Massive PBH clusters





Each massive closed wall is accompanied by a set of smaller walls.

As soon as wall enters horizon, it contracts and collapses in BH. Each locally most massive BH is accompanied by a cloud of less massive BHs.

The structure of such massive PBH clouds can play the role of seeds for galaxies and their large scale distribution.

Spectrum of Massive BHs

• The minimal mass of BHs is given by the condition that its gravitational radius exceeds the width of wall $(d \approx 2f/\Lambda^2)$

$$r_g = \frac{2M}{m_{Pl}^2} > d = \frac{2f}{\Lambda^2} \Longrightarrow M_{\min} = f \left(\frac{m_{Pl}}{\Lambda}\right)^2$$

 The maximal mass is given by the condition that pieces of wall do not dominate within horizon, before the whole wall enters the horizon

$$R < \frac{3\sigma_w}{\rho_{tot}} \Rightarrow M_{\text{max}} = f \left(\frac{m_{Pl}}{f}\right)^2 \left(\frac{m_{Pl}}{\Lambda}\right)^2 \Rightarrow \frac{M_{\text{max}}}{M_{\text{min}}} = \left(\frac{m_{Pl}}{f}\right)^2$$

Antimatter from nonhomogeneous baryosynthesis

- Baryon excess B>0 can be generated nonhomogeneously B(x).
- Any nonhomogeneous mechanism of BARYON excess generation B(x) leads in extreme form to ANTIBARYON excess in some regions.

Survival of antimatter domains

Diffusion of baryons and antibaryons to the border of domain results in eating of antimatter by surrounding baryonic matter.

$$\partial n_b/\partial t = D(t)\partial^2 n_b/\partial x^2 - \alpha n_b$$
 where $D(t) \approx \frac{3T_{\gamma}c}{2\rho_{\gamma}\sigma_T}$


$$D(t) \approx \frac{3T_{\gamma}c}{2\rho_{\gamma}\sigma_{T}}$$


The minimal surviving scale is given by

$$d \approx \frac{c}{\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho_0}} \frac{T_p}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m}{T_{rec}}} \int_{T_p/T_{rec}}^{1} \frac{dy}{y^{3/2}} = \frac{2c}{\sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho_0}} \sqrt{\frac{T_p}{m}}$$

which is about $d \sim 3/h \text{ kpc.}$

Nonhomogeneous spontaneous baryosynthesis





 Model of spontaneous baryosynthesis provides quantitative description of combined effects of inflation and nonhomogeneous baryosynthesis, leading to formation of antimatter domains, surviving to the present time.

Antimatter in galaxies

Number of e-fold	Number of domains	Size of domain
59	0	1103Mpc
55	5.005 · 10 ⁻¹⁴	37.7Mpc
54	7.91 · 10 ⁻¹⁰	13.9Mpc
52	1.291 · 10-3	1.9Mpc
51	0.499	630kpc
50	74.099	255kpc
49	8.966 · 10 ³	94kpc
48	8.012 · 10 ⁵	35kpc
47	5.672 · 10 ⁷	12kpc
46	3.345 · 10 ⁹	4.7kpc
45	1.705 · 10 ¹¹	1.7kpc


Numerical simulations show that within the modern horizon possible amount of antimatter domains, with the size exceeding the survival scale and thus surviving to the present time, can be comparable with the total number of galaxies.

In our Galaxy from 1000 to 100000 antimatter stars can exist in a form of antimatter globular cluster (Khlopov, 1998). Being in halo, such cluster is a faint gamma ray source, but antimatter from it pollutes Galaxy and can be observed indirectly by annihilation, or directly as anti-meteorites or antinuclei in cosmic rays.

Antimatter pollution of Galaxy

(b)

z, pc



10²

103

10

10

10


 $V = 1000 \, km/s$

V=300 km/s

10


- Since antihydrogen is dominant in antimatter composition, the Galaxy is dominantly polluted by antiprotons.
- Their lifetime in Galaxy depends on their velocity and density of surrounding matter.

Gamma background from antimatter annihilation in Galaxy



- Antiproton annihilation can reproduce gamma background observed by EGRET in the range tenshundreds MeV.
- It can not be considered as PROOF for existence of antimatter stars – only pieces of antimatter (antihelium nuclei, antimeteorites) can provide such PROOF.

Cosmic antihelium test for antimatter stars in Galaxy



- Nonhomogeneous baryosynthesis in extreme form leads to antimatter domains in baryon asymmetrical Universe
- To survive in the surrounding matter domain should be sufficiently large, and to have sufficiently high internal antibaryon density to form stars. It gives minimal estimation of possible amount of antimatter stars in Galaxy
- The upper limit comes from observed gamma background
- Assuming that antihelium component of cosmic rays is proportional to the fraction of antimatter stars in the total mass of Galaxy, it is possible to test this hypothesis initially in PAMELA and then completely in AMS-02 experiment

Conclusions

- Strong primordial nonlinear structures (PBHs, massive BH clouds, strong nonhomogeneities of baryonic matter and even antimatter stars) link structure of microworld to cosmological structures and lead to experimentally accessible effects.
- These structures are another example of fundamental relationship between micro-and macro worlds, studied by cosmoparticle physics.